Part III – Local stress fields

1) crack tip stresses
 antiplane crack
 stress intensity factor
 energy release rate
 2) adhesive contact





Tip – Mode III

Solutions $u = \Im m(\Omega)$ with $\Omega = A z^{\alpha}$ Boundary conditions $\sigma_y = 0$ for $\theta = \pi$

















Stress intensity factor and remote loading

$$u = B \Re e(\sqrt{a^2 - z^2})$$

$$K = T\sqrt{2a}$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{aT^2}{\mu}$$





1920: A. Griffith

- In 1915 he was accepted by the Royal Aircraft Factory as a trainee, before joining the Physics and Instrument Department the following year [...]
- In 1926 he wrote his classic paper, An Aerodynamic Theory of Turbine Design. In it, he foresaw the advantages in employing an axial gas turbine engine to drive a propeller...



http://www.cmse.ed.ac.uk/MSE3/Topics/MSE2-05/MSE2-Griffith.pdf

http://100.rolls-royce.com/people/view.jsp?id=116



2) Adhesive contact – beyond Derjaguin 1934 Part II





2a) 1971: JKR

research on rubber contact at the Physics Dpt at Cambridge sponsored by the Malaysian Rubber Institute



Surface charge contribution in rubber adhesion and friction, A. Roberts Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 10, 1977, 1801



Macroscopic picture 0 Temps: 0.06 Force: 0.21 X: -0.22 Y: 0.



CENTRE MATICIPAL DE LA RECHERCOE SOENTIFICIDE

Contact of spheres – impact of adhesion





displacement and force $\delta(a) = \delta_H(a) + \delta_{fp}$ $F(a) = F_H(a) + F_{fp}(a)$ with

$$F_{fp}(a) = \delta_{fp} S(a)$$



Force plots







Interface characterization by the JKR test



after Deruelle et al. 1995

Adhesion / surface energy measurements Scheme I. PDMS

R P 2a

Scheme I. PDMS Functionalized by Oxidation in an Oxygen Plasma To Generate a Silica Surface⁴



^a This superficial silica layer is further functionalized by reaction with functional alkyltrichlorosilanes.

Table I.	Surface Free Energies of Silane-Modified	PDMS
	Surfaces*	

θ.,	θ.,	γ_{sv} , ergs/	m², from	
(deg)	(deg)	θ_{\bullet}	θr	
40 (s)	26 (s)	21.6	24.9	
0	0	_	-	
42	40	21.0	21.6	
83	69	8.7	12.8	
	$\theta_{a}, \\ (deg) \\ 40 (s) \\ 0 \\ 42 \\ 83$	$\begin{array}{c c} \theta_{a}, & \theta_{r}, \\ (deg) & (deg) \\ \hline 40 (s) & 26 (s) \\ 0 & 0 \\ 42 & 40 \\ 83 & 69 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \theta_{a}, & \theta_{r}, & \frac{\gamma_{av}, ergs/r}{\theta_{a}} \\ \hline (deg) & (deg) & \theta_{a} \\ \hline 40 (s) & 26 (s) & 21.6 \\ 0 & 0 & - \\ 42 & 40 & 21.0 \\ 83 & 69 & 8.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$	

Chaudhury, Langmuir 7 (1991) 1013



Part IV

2b) Roughness

Interpretate interpretation



Impact of roughness



Impact on roughness – elastic adhesive contact



Part IV – interaction stresses, stress intensity factor and remote loading

- 1) The cohesive zone
- 2) Size effects
- 3) Mechanical dissipation and effective adhesion



Length scales and Small scale yielding (SSY)

C.Y. Hui and A. Ruina, (1995), Int. J.Fracture, 72, 97-120



1) Cohesive zone



Cohesive zone



impact of interaction stresses at the crack tip

$$K_{int} = 2\sqrt{\frac{a}{\pi}}\sigma_0 \arccos\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)$$

Full crack $c = 0 \qquad \qquad K_{int} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_0 \sqrt{a}$

Maugis, Contact, adhesion and rupture, Springer, 2000, p. 174 Dugdale,D.S., (1960), J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 8,100-104 Barenblatt,G.I., (1962), Advan. Appl.Mech. 7



Cohesive zone – SSY limit at
$$arcos(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{a}) \simeq \sqrt{2\frac{\epsilon}{a}}$$

 $K_{int} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sigma_0\sqrt{\epsilon}$
 $G = \frac{8}{\pi}\frac{\sigma_0^2\epsilon}{E}$





Flaw tolerant biocomposites

Fig. 1. Many hard biological tissues, such as tooth (a), vertebral bone (b), or shells (c) are made of nanocomposites with hard mineral platelets in a soft (protein) matrix



Gao, Huajian et al. (2003) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 5597-5600



3) Mechanical dissipation – effective adhesion





Self-consistent contact description – viscoelastic system



G. Haiat, E. Barthel JMPS 2003, Langmuir 2002

Description of viscoelasticity





G. Haiat, E. Barthel Langmuir 2002



Viscoelastic adhesive contact – cohesive zone size







Conclusions

surface forces and interactions self-cleaning glass
varied... not only van der Waals

- overall energy balance useful for failure analysis
 DCB testing of thin films, crack path selection
- energy transfer between interface and remote loading mediated by stress singularity JKR test / impact of roughness on particle adhesion

Cohesion/adhesion stresses control rupture at the crack tip especially through additional dissipative mechanisms with mechanical origin. viscoelastic dissipation at the interface: laminated windshields



Stick transition



Viscoelastic adhesive contact and roughness



Haiat and Barthel, Langmuir, 2007



Non reversible contact – stick period





End of stick period – stick transition



Plastic dissipation at the crack tip



Suo, Shih and Varias, Acta Met. Mat., 1993

